## **REMARKS**

Applicant appreciates Examiner's indication of the allowability of the subject matter of claim 5. In order to expedite the prosecution of the present application, claim 1 has been amended herein to incorporate the subject matter of claim 5, the language of which has been rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as described in more detail below.

Claim 5 has been canceled by amendment herein and claim 13 has been amended to delete the reference to claim 5.

Turning to the rejections, claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner indicates that it "is unclear as to what is operationally connected to the output of the generator unit." Although claim 5 has been canceled herein, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claim 5, which have been rewritten for clarification. Specifically, it is now clear from claim 1 that the modulator unit has an output, and that the output of the modulator unit is operationally connected to the output of said generator unit. Thus, the rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph does not apply to amended claim 1.

Claims 1, 2-3, 6, 8, 10, 12, 13 were indicated as being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,972,439 to Kuznicki et al. Based on references made to claim 4 in the detailed action, it is believed that the Examiner intended to include claim 4 in the instant rejection, and thus the rejection is being treated as such. As described above, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 5, which were indicated by the Examiner to contain allowable subject matter. Thus, amended claim 1 is now allowable for at least the reasons applicable to claim 5. Further, since

Appln. No. 09/940,401

Response dated May 27, 2008

Reply to Office Action dated November 27, 2007

claims 2-4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 13 each depend from 1, either directly or indirectly, they are

also now allowable for the same reasons.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is

in condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is

determined that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited

to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of

the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge

same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No. TSW-33904.

Respectfully submitted,

PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

By: /Aaron A. Fishman/

Aaron A. Fishman-Reg No.: 44682

1801 East 9<sup>th</sup> Street Suite 1200

Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108

(216) 579-1700

Date: May 27, 2008

Page 6 of 6